The Global Rise of Selective Service Integration

Why the SIAM model is evolving

Organizations are redesigning service integration.

Today's multi-supplier ecosystems are evolving rapidly, shaped by AI, automation, platform capability and increasingly dynamic sourcing models. In response, organizations are applying SIAM principles selectively across modern enterprise environments, adopting more distributed and flexible approaches aligned to operational complexity, commercial pressure and changing governance requirements.

Unisys identified a shift in how organizations are designing, implementing and operating service integration across multi-supplier ecosystems – both within its existing customers and new market opportunities. It has collaborated with Scopism, the global body for SIAM best practice, to conduct independent research exploring how service integration operating models are evolving in practice.

Based on practitioner interviews, market analysis and research within the Scopism SIAM Community and the wider IT community, this paper explores the market trends reshaping service integration and the implications for organizations operating multi-supplier environments.

About Unisys

Unisys is a global technology solutions company that powers breakthroughs for the world's leading organizations. Our solutions - cloud, AI, digital workplace, applications and enterprise computing - help our clients challenge the status quo and unlock their full potential. To learn how we have been helping clients push what's possible for more than 150 years, visit unisys.com and follow us on LinkedIn.

About Scopism

Scopism is the home of best practice for Service Integration and Management (SIAM), combining globally recognized thought leadership with a thriving practitioner and leadership community to set the agenda for how multi-supplier services are integrated, governed, and improved. Through our cross-sector network, councils, research, events, and publications (including stewardship of the SIAM Body of Knowledge) and an active community that shares lessons learned and practical resources, we connect buy-side and sell-side perspectives and keep SIAM guidance current, credible, and grounded in real-world delivery. Visit Scopism.com learn more.

Lead Authors

Helen Nunn (Unisys)
A Senior Strategic Advisor for IT Service Management and SIAM within Unisys' Service Management Office. She has more than 36 years' IT industry experience, with deep expertise in leading operations, transition and transformation programmes across multiple sectors, and is recognised as an industry thought leader. Over the past eight years, she has worked with the independent certification and examination institute EXIN to develop and maintain industry-recognised SIAM exams, taken by more than 15,000 people worldwide. Most recently, she co-authored the first SIAM capability model of its kind, launched in June 2026 with EXIN and Scopism.

Tony Williams (Scopism)
Associate SIAM Partner at Scopism, specializing in Service Integration and Management (SIAM), multi-supplier operating models and enterprise service transformation. An award-winning IT transformation and service management consultant, he has more than 20 years of experience leading large-scale digital, operational and organizational change across complex, highly regulated and safety-critical environments including nuclear, government, healthcare and scientific sectors.

Executive Summary

Dynamic ecosystems are creating challenges

Service integration is undergoing a fundamental shift. As multi-supplier ecosystems become more dynamic, spanning cloud platforms, SaaS providers, internal teams, and AI-enabled operations, organizations face mounting pressure to deliver faster outcomes, maintain control, and reduce coordination overhead.

SIAM models provide structure and governance, but applying fully centralized integration across all services is not always practical or economically justified. Leaders are re-evaluating where integration is truly needed, what can be handled through platforms and providers, and which responsibilities must remain under retained control. Procurement is shifting too: organizations are selectively sourcing capabilities such as governance, orchestration, reporting, and cross-provider coordination. These distributed models can increase agility and reduce overhead, but they also raise the importance of strong retained governance to avoid fragmentation and loss of end-to-end visibility.

About the research

To better understand the extent and scope of service integration adoption, Scopism surveyed its SIAM Community, which includes more than five thousand global representatives from customer organisations, service providers, consultancies and public-sector bodies operating in complex multi-supplier environments. The survey explored the drivers behind the chosen approach, commonly used naming conventions and anticipated future direction.

The research was further enriched by ten in-depth practitioner interviews conducted across the United Kingdom, Europe, Australia, Asia and the Middle East. These interviews provided deeper insight into the survey themes and added practical perspectives.

About this whitepaper

This paper explores an emerging response to these pressures: Selective Service Integration. The term describes the targeted application of SIAM principles, applying integration capability selectively across critical services, suppliers, and governance layers, while distributing coordination across retained teams, providers, and enterprise platforms. Rather than adopting a single operating model, organizations are adopting more selective, platform-enabled approaches aligned to governance priorities, sourcing strategy, and operational complexity.

Licensing and Usage

Recommended citation: Unisys and Scopism. (2026). The Global Rise of Selective Service Integration.

For licensing enquiries or additional information, contact: contact@scopism.com

Key findings

Service integration is entering a new phase, based on a model that is more flexible, more targeted, and more closely linked to business value. For CIOs and service leaders, the question is shifting from whether to implement SIAM to deciding what level of SIAM to adopt. Is deeper integration required to manage risk and complexity, or will lighter coordination be sufficient? How can integration evolve alongside sourcing and technology strategies? Selective approaches can improve agility and reduce cost, but accountability and visibility must be deliberately designed into the model.

Major shifts that stand out throughout the research:

- Full SIAM adoption is no longer the default
- Selective Service integration is a deliberate design choice
- Service integration is becoming more distributed and less centralized
- Flexible service integration models are a response to operational complexity
- Suppliers and service providers are reshaping demand for integration services
- A consistent understanding of SIAM varies significantly across the market

Takeaways

This paper outlines the findings of research into Selective Service Integration, and highlights considerations and next steps for IT leaders.

Selective Service Integration emerges as an intentional design strategy, focusing integration effort where it delivers the greatest value. This means:

- defining clear governance and accountability
- strengthening end-to-end visibility with reporting and dashboards
- embedding integration into platforms (e.g., ServiceNow)
- using automation to reduce manual coordination overhead.

Unisys is actively supporting this shift in practice. Through its Selective Service Integration approach, Unisys enables organizations to design right-sized integration models aligned to their sourcing strategy, platform maturity, and operational needs. This includes:

- defining governance and accountability models,
- improving end-to-end service visibility through reporting and dashboards,
- embedding integration into platforms such as ServiceNow,
- and leveraging automation to reduce manual coordination overhead.

This approach allows organizations to achieve stronger governance, improved visibility, and better supplier alignment - without the cost and complexity of a full SIAM model.

Research Findings: Drivers for, and Adoption of, SSI

One of the clearest patterns emerging from the research was the absence of a consistent definition of SIAM in practice. When survey respondents were asked to describe their current service integration approach, responses revealed additional terms including SIAM Lite, Hybrid SIAM, Multi-Sourcing Service Integration (MSI), Operational Service Integration (OSI), Enterprise Service Management, Vendor Service Management and Service Integration Governance. This creates a risk that some organizations are using the same label while operating materially different governance structures, sourcing models and integration capabilities.

This creates further difficulty for organizations attempting to benchmark operating models, compare supplier propositions or define consistent expectations for service integration capability. The findings suggest that SIAM is increasingly being applied as a flexible set of principles rather than as a single standardized operating model.

As a result, organizations are required to define explicitly what integration outcomes, governance responsibilities and operational capabilities they expect service integration to deliver, rather than assuming a shared industry understanding of what “SIAM” represents in practice.

Survey insights:

Only 6% of respondents reported fully integrated SIAM across all services and providers, while 44% reported applying integration selectively across specific domains, suppliers or processes.

52% said the integrator role is retained internally, compared with 12% relying on a fully outsourced SIAM provider model.

Broader industry evidence* also suggests the market is shifting toward hybrid and internal integrator models, while AI adoption across outsourced services is increasing faster than organizations’ ability to govern it effectively .

Together, these findings indicate that organizations are not abandoning integration; they are redesigning it around outcomes, risk, cost and ecosystem complexity.

*Source: Global SIAM Survey, Scopism

6% fully integrated SIAM

44% apply integration selectively

52% integrator role is retained internally

12% fully outsource SIAM provider model

> *“As service integration becomes more selective and distributed, AI introduces a new layer of intelligence—enhancing visibility, accelerating decision-making, and aligning operations more directly to business value.”*
>
> *Chris Rees, Sr. Director, AI Strategy and Engagement, Unisys*

Selective Service Integration is being adopted in different ways, shaped by an organization's retained capabilities, commercial model, platform maturity, and business priorities. There are two common patterns:

- **Service-led:** integration applied to a small number of high-dependency services (for example end-user services, cloud operations, or service desk coordination) where cross-provider failure risk and coordination cost are highest.

- **Governance-led**: integration focused on strengthening governance and end-to-end visibility, while operational execution remains distributed across suppliers.

These models apply integration only where it creates measurable value, for example risk reduction, improved outcomes, and reduced overhead.

The interview program strongly echoed this: practitioners consistently described a move away from large-scale, centralized integration models toward narrower, targeted approaches aligned to operational priorities, retained capability, and commercial constraints.

Unisys is well positioned to support this shift. Its approach combines advisory, transition and operational improvement capabilities to help clients determine where SSI should be applied, how governance should be structured and how platform, process and supplier models should work together. This includes defining the right operating model, clarifying roles and accountability, establishing governance and reporting, enabling tooling and workflow integration, and helping organizations evolve over time without over-engineering the target state.

In practical terms, Unisys can help clients move from fragmented supplier oversight to deliberate, outcome-led integration by focusing first on business-critical interfaces, customer-impacting journeys and governance pain points. This makes Selective Service Integration both an achievable near-term design and a scalable foundation for broader service integration maturity where needed.

FINDING 1:

Partial rather than full adoption as the default

SIAM has always been intended as a flexible methodology rather than a rigid operating structure. What has changed is its implementation. A relatively small group of organizations has adopted SIAM as a comprehensive operating model across the estate, while a much larger group has adopted selected SIAM principles selectively across suppliers, processes or governance layers.

This is changing how organizations design, procure and govern service integration capability. Rather than adopting a single standardized operating model across the enterprise, organizations are increasingly applying integration selectively in areas where governance complexity, supplier coordination or operational risk justify additional external oversight.

As a result, service integration is becoming a more targeted organizational capability aligned to specific operational outcomes rather than a uniformly applied operating structure. Organizations are therefore required to make more deliberate decisions about where integration capability is genuinely needed, which responsibilities should remain retained, and where lighter-touch governance approaches may be sufficient.

Strategic considerations

- Organizations should define clear and measurable integration outcomes and confirm all terms are understood by all stakeholders
- Governance responsibilities for service integration need to be explicitly assigned and understood across the organization
- Expected operational capabilities should be clearly articulated to ensure consistent delivery and accountability
- Organizations should avoid assuming a common industry interpretation of “SIAM” and clarify their own definition and scope
- Successful service integration depends on aligning stakeholders around agreed objectives, responsibilities and operating expectations

FINDING 2:

Selective Service Integration is a deliberate design choice

Selective Service Integration is increasingly being adopted as a deliberate operating model choice, aligned to organizational priorities, governance requirements, and ecosystem complexity. Nearly half of the organizations described their current operating model as a strategic decision, signalling a clear shift away from uniform SIAM adoption toward more targeted, context-led approaches.

This shift is being driven by cost and efficiency, the need for flexibility and faster time to value, and growing confidence in supplier capability. Organizations are intentionally adopting lighter integration models to avoid the overhead associated with large, centralized SIAM structures, while still maintaining control where it matters.

This is most visible in procurement strategy. Instead of procuring a single, centralized SIAM function, organizations are increasingly sourcing discrete integration capabilities such as governance, orchestration, reporting, and operational coordination, which are mapped to specific outcomes and risk areas.

Strategic considerations

- Design integration around outcomes, not uniform structures or legacy models.
- Identify where deeper integration is required to manage risk, complexity, and critical dependencies.
- Confirm where lighter coordination is sufficient, using supplier capability and platform controls where appropriate.
- Define what must remain retained, particularly for governance, assurance, and accountability.
- Evolve integration capability deliberately in line with sourcing strategy, platform maturity, and changes in the supplier ecosystem.

FINDING 3:

Service integration is becoming more distributed and less centralized

Service integration is shifting from centralized models toward more distributed approaches. 52% of organizations report that the service integrator role is retained internally, while only 12% rely on a fully outsourced SIAM provider model. This is evidence of a move towards internal ownership combined with more flexible coordination structures.

Organizations are retaining ownership of governance, supplier strategy, and end-to-end accountability, while distributing elements of operational coordination across suppliers, specialist partners, and enterprise platforms. This creates greater flexibility, but it also changes what “integration” looks like.

Integration teams are also evolving. They are increasingly focused on onboarding and transition, governance enablement, and ensuring the ecosystem can coordinate effectively through standards, controls, and shared ways of working. The importance of the retained organizational capability has also increased. Strong governance, commercial management, and architectural oversight remain critical to maintain coherence across increasingly complex supplier ecosystems.

Strategic considerations

- Assume integration is now shared across layers and design for distributed accountability rather than a single central function.
- Strengthen retained governance capability before distributing coordination, to avoid fragmentation and loss of end-to-end control.
- Reposition the integration function toward onboarding, transition, standards, assurance, and governance enablement.
- Use platforms to support coordination and visibility.
- Align commercial and architectural controls to reinforce cross-provider behaviours, transparency, and consistent execution.

FINDING 4:

Flexible service integration models can be a response to operational complexity

Selective integration models are emerging as a pragmatic response to rising ecosystem complexity. In many organizations, the effort required to implement and evidence the value of a full SIAM model can be difficult to justify. Applying SIAM principles selectively, focusing on integration capability where it delivers clear value and using lighter coordination provides a pragmatic response.

Practitioners consistently described their operational reality as including fragmented tooling, inconsistent processes, and unclear cross-provider accountability. In distributed environments, providers often retain their own tools and data structures, leaving organizations to stitch together visibility through APIs, manual coordination, and duplicated reporting.

Even where enterprise platforms and automation improve workflow integration, they do not remove the underlying need for governance, commercial control, and end-to-end accountability. Selective service integrations shifts the focus from formal SIAM structures to retained governance teams, platform management, supplier coordination, and cross-functional operational oversight that provide tangible value.

Strategic considerations

- Design for selectivity: apply SIAM principles where they measurably reduce risk, improve outcomes, or strengthen end-to-end accountability.
- Assume complexity moves, not disappears and plan for any impact on retained governance, platform teams, and supplier management.
- Design for cross-provider accountability: clarify decision rights, escalation paths, and ownership across service chains.
- Reduce reliance on manual reporting by setting minimum data and reporting standards across providers.
- Treat platforms and automation as enablers: valuable for coordination, but not a substitute for governance and commercial discipline.

FINDING 5:

Suppliers and service providers are reshaping demand for integration services

Market changes are not customer driven. The supply side, including service providers, platforms and market dynamics, is reshaping integration. Providers and platforms are absorbing integration capability into their core offerings, and customers are adopting it by default.

Practitioners reported that AI, automation, enterprise platforms, and changing sourcing approaches are reshaping how integration capability is delivered and consumed in modern multi-supplier environments. Capabilities once associated with standalone integration functions such as workflow orchestration, reporting, automation, and operational coordination are increasingly being embedded within enterprise platforms, provider tooling, and operational service layers.

As platform capability matures, interviewees noted the commercial case for large standalone integration layers is becoming harder to justify. The research suggests the economics of service integration are shifting rather than relying on dedicated organizational layers with separate governance and commercial models, organizations are delivering integration capability through a mix of platform features, provider managed services, and retained operational teams.

Practitioners also described consolidation onto shared platform environments and increased use of automation, orchestration, and AI-enabled operations, often embedding integration into operational tooling whether or not that shift is explicitly planned.

Integration is still essential, but the delivery model is changing. Integration capability is becoming smaller, more selective, more automated, and increasingly embedded within platforms, provider services, and retained structures. This is also changing how organizations evaluate and fund integration, with procurement focused on specific outcomes such as visibility, orchestration, automation, and cross-provider coordination.

Strategic considerations

- Treat integration as part of platform and sourcing strategy, not a standalone organizational layer.
- Procure outcomes, not structures: prioritize measurable needs such as visibility, orchestration, automation, and cross-provider coordination.
- Design governance for embedded integration: clarify accountabilities, controls, and escalation paths across platforms, providers, and retained teams.
- Avoid duplication of controls: align platform capability, provider tooling, and retained oversight to prevent parallel reporting and overlapping governance.
- Assume automation increases the need for clarity: as operations become more automated, invest in data standards and assurance mechanisms to maintain end-to-end accountability.

As platforms mature, integration is no longer a separate layer, it becomes part of how services are delivered. Our clients are asking for leaner, more automated models that blend platform capability with targeted governance. The organizations making the most progress are those that rethink integration as an embedded, outcome-driven capability rather than a standalone structure.”

Anand Narula, Senior Director, Unisys

Conclusion and Market Outlook

The research presented in this paper indicates that service integration models are evolving in response to business challenges. The factors that shaped SIAM (coordination, governance, and end-to-end accountability) are still present, but the way in which organizations respond to them is changing.

Across both interviews and survey findings, we find a market pattern of service integration approaches that are more selective, distributed, and flexible than the operating models commonly associated with early SIAM adoption. As ecosystems become more dynamic, integration applied indiscriminately can create unnecessary overhead, cost, and constraints.

Benefits of SSI

Selective Service Integration is emerging as a deliberate, strategic choice. Organizations are increasingly retaining strategic integration capability internally while distributing elements of operational coordination across suppliers, enterprise platforms, and specialist partners.

Advances in AI, automation, and platform capability are accelerating this shift by changing how integration is delivered, consumed, and valued, enabling tighter governance and improved visibility without creating a centralized integration “silo”. The evidence suggests the strongest outcomes are achieved where integration design is intentional: targeted where it reduces risk, improves control, and supports business outcomes, and lighter-touch where platform-led coordination is sufficient.

Strategic implications

CIOs and service leaders need to make deliberate choices about:

- which integration capabilities are genuinely required for critical services and high-risk dependencies
- which responsibilities must remain retained to protect governance, assurance, and strategic control
- how governance, tooling, and supplier coordination should operate across an ecosystem that will continue to evolve

The market is not converging on a single future operating model. Instead, organizations are tailoring service integration approaches to their operational context, governance requirements, and ecosystem complexity.

This reflects a principle long established in the SIAM Body of Knowledge: SIAM is a management methodology, not a one-size-fits-all operating model. The principles are unchanged, and service integration delivers the most value when it is designed deliberately, applied selectively, and evolved continuously in line with changes in sourcing, platforms, and governance needs.

Unisys works with global enterprises to translate these insights into action—building leaner, more intentional integration models that enhance visibility, reduce risk, and accelerate value. By aligning governance, platforms, and supplier ecosystems, Unisys helps organizations realize the benefits of Selective Service Integration in a practical, measurable way.

Unisys leverages AI-driven automation to provide trend-level insights into supplier performance, helping organizations build leaner, more adaptive integration models that proactively address risk, optimize service quality, and unlock continuous improvement across the ecosystem. This can include predictive risk scoring that identifies supplier or service degradation before it breaches SLA thresholds, anomaly detection that surfaces unusual patterns across disparate provider data without manual reconciliation, and generative AI that turns raw operational data into governance-ready reporting and decision support.

Appendix A – Survey Findings Summary

All figures: Scopism SIAM Community Survey, May 2026, n = 48 (24 customer organizations, 24 providers; 73% large enterprises; Europe-weighted with global representation).

Question & top responses

Extent of integration

- 6% full SIAM
- 29% most services
- 44% selected domains only
- 21% minimal or none

Integration scope (multi-select)

- 88% Incident/ Problem/ Request/ Change
- 58% Service Level Manage ment
- 40% cross-supplier governance
- 21% end-to-end

Who performs the integrator role

- 52% internal team
- 19% shared
- 17% not clearly defined
- 12% external SIAM provider

Design intent (multi-select)

- 46% deliberate design
- 42% shaped by constraints
- 17% interim
- 19% not clearly defined

Top drivers (multi-select)

- 56% cost
- 33% flexibility - time to value
- 27% trust & contracts
- 25% capability

Two-year direction

- 40% continue selective service integration
- 31% move toward full SIAM
- 21% increase internal ownership
- 2% provider-led

Appendix B - Contributors and Acknowledgements

Contributing Authors

Aakash Gupta (Unisys)
Part of the Pre-Sales and Transition team with over eight years of IT Service Management experience supporting clients across APAC, EMEA, and the US. He specializes in SIAM governance and broader service management, enabling integrated, outcome-driven models in multi-supplier environments. He holds a SIAM Professional certification and applies an AI-first approach to strengthen governance maturity, decision-making, and service performance.

Anand Narula (Unisys)
Part of the Global Delivery Services leadership team, leading Service Management within Unisys. With over 18 years of global experience, he has led enterprise-scale IT Service Management transformations across complex, multinational environments.
An ITIL® certified leader, Anand focuses on driving Automation First and Integrated Service Management strategies—simplifying ownership, strengthening governance, and delivering measurable improvements in operational performance and business alignment.

Claire Agutter (Scopism)
Claire Agutter is interested in anything that helps IT work better. With more than two decades of experience as a service management consultant, trainer, speaker and author, she is the founder of Scopism and a globally recognized SIAM authority.

In 2025 she was recognized by SDI as a Distinguished Industry Contributor and awarded the itSMF UK Paul Rappaport Lifetime Achievement Award for her contribution to IT service management.

Stephanie Ward (Scopism)
Stephanie Ward, Community Manager at Scopism, helped build and launch the global Scopism SIAM Community. Since its launch in 2023, she has worked with practitioners, consultants, service providers and customer organisations from around the world, helping grow it into a global network of SIAM professionals. Through her work across community engagement, events, working groups and research initiatives, Steph has developed a broad perspective on how organisations are applying SIAM in practice and how the profession continues to evolve.

Practitioner Interview Contributors

The research was informed by a series of in-depth practitioner interviews conducted across the United Kingdom, Europe, Australia, Asia and the Middle East. The authors would like to thank the following practitioners and organizations for contributing their experience, perspectives and operational insight into the research.

Interview contributors included:

- Delivery teams, Unisys
- Patrick Follon, Follon & Partners
- Melanie Humphries, Horizon 7
- Mark Basham, Humanising IT
- Katrina Macdermid, Humanising IT
- Adam Martin, Kinetic IT
- Shivanand Jadhav, Meritech
- Ben Palmer-Hughes, St John Ambulance
- David Morrison-John, Subsea7
- Tim Mills, The ITSM People

Interviews were conducted under conditions of professional confidentiality. In some cases, quotations and examples included within this paper have therefore been lightly anonymized or role-described to preserve organizational and commercial sensitivity while retaining the integrity of the research findings.

Survey Contributors

This paper also draws on responses from the Scopism SIAM Community Survey conducted between April and May 2026. Scopism thanks every member of the global SIAM community who contributed to the research.

Survey responses were received from practitioners operating across customer organizations, service providers, consultancies and public-sector organizations within complex multi-supplier environments.

All survey statistics referenced within this paper are drawn from the Scopism SIAM Community Survey unless otherwise stated.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the wider Scopism SIAM Community and industry practitioner network for supporting the research through interviews, discussion, feedback and contribution throughout the development of this paper.

Additional thanks are extended to the wider SIAM practitioner community whose experience, challenge and insight continue to shape the evolution of service integration practices globally.

Appendix C - Unisys

Unisys enables organizations to bring control and clarity to complex multi-provider environments through a right-sized **Selective Service Integration** approach - either as a practical end state or a stepping stone to full SIAM. Unisys supports Selective Service Integration across three integrated capability areas, aligned to client maturity, ambition, and risk appetite.

Advisory & Thought Leadership

Unisys helps clients determine if, when, and how to adopt Selective Service Integration to maximize value while avoiding unnecessary complexity:

- Right-sized Selective Service Integration operating model design and roadmap.
- Advisory and guidance, including validating whether Selective Service Integration is the right choice.
- Advice on expediting value from the operating model.
- Tooling and integration guidance to avoid over-engineering and customization.
- AI-readiness assessment to identify where AI and automation can absorb service integration effort, and where governance must remain retained and human-led.
- Roadmap recommendations to achieve AI and automation identified within the AI- readiness assessment.

Transition, Implementation & Transformation

Unisys works with client to enable a scalable, right-sized operating model that delivers early visibility, governance control, and measurable improvement:

- End-to-end transformation and implementation of Selective Service Integration.
- Design and implementation of the governance framework, process and procedures, and reporting and dashboards.
- Implementation and configuration of tools such as ServiceNow or Freshservice to support the Selective Service Integration journey.
- Roadmap to introduce automation efficiencies across in-scope processes.
- Operational change management to embed and reinforce changes in ways of working.
- Deployment of AI-enabled workflows — automated triage, routing, and reporting — can be implemented within platforms such as ServiceNow or Freshservice to reduce manual coordination from day one.

Manage & Optimize

Client can partner with Unisys to continuously improve performance and governance maturity while maintaining operational efficiency and preparing for future SIAM adoption including:

- Ongoing optimization of governance, reporting, and controls.
- Continuous improvement driven by performance insights.
- Progressive maturity planning towards the SIAM framework, where required.
- AI-driven trend analysis and predictive insights into supplier performance, enabling proactive risk management and continuous improvement across the ecosystem.

unisys

www.unisys.com

scopism

www.scopism.com